







Online Citators: Shepard's
Whitney A. Curtis
wcurtis@law.stetson.edu
Stetson University College of Law Library

Shepard's

- Shepard's is located on Lexis.
- The process of researching the history of legal authority is known as "Shepardizing."
- Go to <http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool> and log on like you normally would.





LexisNexis® Real Research System

Shepard's: **Goer v. American Honda Motor Co.** 520 U.S. 861, 120 S. Ct. 1913, 146 L. Ed. 2d 914, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 3425, 60 U.S.L.W. 4425, 13 Fla. L. 2000 Cal. Daily Op. Service 5377, 2000 Colo. J. C.A.R. 2026, 2000 D.A.R. 5377, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep.

SHEPARD'S SUMMARY

Unrestricted Shepard's Summary

No subsequent appellate history. Prior history awaits.


Citing References:

- Cautionary Analyses: Criticized (3) Distinguished (51)
- Positive Analyses: Followed (17)
- Neutral Analyses: Concurring Opinion (3), Conflict Authority (1), Dissenting Op. (17), Explained (47), Harmonized (2), Outst. the Law (Reviews) (49), Statutes (12), Treatises (33), Other Citations (45), Court Documents (1208)
- Other Sources: LexisNexis Headnotes (181 (118), 182 (12), 183 (51), 184 (102), 185 (45), 186 (142), 187 (134), 188 (10), 189 (24), 190 (156), 191 (14))

PRIOR HISTORY (3 citing references) Hide Prior History

Select for Display

- Goer v. American Honda Motor Co., 146 F.3d 1236, 324 U.S. App. D.C. 273, 1999 U.S. App. LEXIS 1506, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep. P15
- Web of citation created.



LexisNexis® Real Research System

Shepard's: **Goer v. American Honda Motor Co.** 520 U.S. 861, 120 S. Ct. 1913, 146 L. Ed. 2d 914, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 3425, 60 U.S.L.W. 4425, 13 Fla. L. 2000 Cal. Daily Op. Service 5377, 2000 Colo. J. C.A.R. 2026, 2000 D.A.R. 5377, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep.

4. Criticized in Concurring Opinion at, Distinguished by, Cited in Concurring Opinion at, Cited in Dissenting Opinion at, Cited by:

Criticized in Concurring Opinion at:

- 129 S. Ct. 1187, 173 L. Ed. 2d 51, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 2776, 21 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 675, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep. P15
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.51

Distinguished by:

- 129 S. Ct. 1187 p.1203
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.52

Cited in Concurring Opinion at:

- 129 S. Ct. 1187 p.1207
- 129 S. Ct. 1187 p.1213
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.51
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.51

Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:


- 129 S. Ct. 1187 p.1217
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.52

Cited by:

- 129 S. Ct. 1187 p.1200
- 173 L. Ed. 2d 51 p.52

5. Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:

- 129 S. Ct. 1187, 173 L. Ed. 2d 396, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 9127, 21 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 563, 2000-2 Trade Headlines 1824



LexisNexis® Real Research System

Shepard's: **Goer v. American Honda Motor Co.** 520 U.S. 861, 120 S. Ct. 1913, 146 L. Ed. 2d 914, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 3425, 60 U.S.L.W. 4425, 13 Fla. L. 2000 Cal. Daily Op. Service 5377, 2000 Colo. J. C.A.R. 2026, 2000 D.A.R. 5377, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep.

FOCUS Terms

View Shepard's Citations

**** agency is permitted to sue directly, the weight the Court accords the agency's reputation of state-law impact on the federal scheme depends on its thoroughness, consistency, and persuasiveness. Cf. *Id.*, 120 S. Ct. 1187, 173 L. Ed. 2d 51, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 2776, 21 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 675, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep. P15 (the FDA's 2000 guidance does not meet minimums if it is promulgated in part of the FDA's state-to-state enforcement process and is not a complementary form of drug regulation without providing a copy of the guidance to the state). *Id.*, 120 S. Ct. 1187, 173 L. Ed. 2d 51, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 2776, 21 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 675, CCH Prod. Lib. Rep. P15.

Justice Stevens argued the case for petitioner. Justice Souter argued the case for the United States, as amicus curiae, by special leave. Justice Ginsburg argued the case for respondent.


Justice Stevens, J., delivered the opinion of the Court, in which Souter, Ginsburg, and Breyer, JJ., joined. Justice O'Connor, J., filed a concurring opinion. Justice Thomas, J., filed an opinion concurring in the judgment. Justice Alito, J., filed a dissenting opinion, in which Roberts, C. J., and Scalia, J., joined.

OPINION BY JUSTICE STEVENS.

Justice Stevens delivered the opinion of the Court.

Directly affecting the drug Phenergan onto a patient's [***] can create a significant risk of catastrophic injury or death. The manufacturer of the drug, had failed to provide an adequate warning of that risk and awarded damages to the patient. The question we must decide is whether the FDA's approval of changes in the drug's labeling, is a complementary form of drug regulation without providing a copy of the guidance to the state.

This indicates the number of times your case is cited.



This screenshot shows the LexisNext search results for the case 'Goer v. American Honda Motor Co.'. The interface includes a search bar at the top, navigation tabs, and a detailed case summary. Key elements are highlighted with red boxes: the 'Analysis' tab, the 'FOCUS Score' section, the 'Followed by' link, and the 'U.S. Supreme Court' and '11th Circuit' options under the 'Jurisdiction available in RSC' section.

This screenshot displays the Shepard's Summary for the case 'Goer v. American Honda Motor Co.'. It provides a 'Restricted Shepard's Summary' indicating that the case is followed by the 11th Circuit and has no subsequent appellate history. The page includes 'Citing References' with a 'Followed by' link circled in blue, and a list of 'CITING DECISIONS' from the U.S. Supreme Court.

Questions ?
Please ask us – We're here to help

E-mail us: lawrefgp@law.stetson.edu
Call us:
Reference: 727-562-7821
Toll Free: 1-866-391-3221
Library Web: <http://www.law.stetson/library>